Arrival Date: 15.01.2023 | Published Date: 30.07.2023 | Vol: 8, Issue: 3 | pp: 578-584 | Doi Number: http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8207448

DETERMINING COMPLICATIONS IN PATIENTS UNDERGOING CORONARY ANGIOGRAPHY AND PERCUTANEOUS CORONARY INTERVENTION: A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY

KORONER ANJIYOGRAFI VE PERKÜTAN KORONER GIRIŞIM YAPILAN HASTALARDA GÖRÜLEN KOMPLIKASYONLARIN BELIRLENMESI: RETROSPEKTIF BIR ÇALIŞMA

Gülşah ÇAMCI ¹⁰, Betül BAYRAK ¹⁰, Sıdıka OĞUZ ¹⁰, Gamze BÜLBÜL ¹⁰, Fatih UZUN ¹⁰

¹ Marmara University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Nursing Department, Nursing Department of Internal Medicine, Istanbul, Türkiye.

² Suleyman Demirel University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Nursing, Department of Internal Medicine Nursing, Isparta, Türkiye.

³Başakşehir Çam and Sakura City Hospital, Istanbul, Türkiye.

⁴ University of Health Sciences, Istanbul Mehmet Akif Ersoy Health Research Center For Thoracic, Cardiac and Vascular Surgery, Department of Cardiology, Istanbul, Türkiye.

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study was planned as a descriptive and retrospective study to determine the complications seen in patients undergoing coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary intervention.

Methods: The sample of the study included the data of all patients who underwent coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary intervention in a city hospital in Istanbul between May and November 2020. 529 individuals aged between 18-80 were included in the study.

Results: Mean age of individuals was 56.04 ± 10.95 . 81.1% had a chronic disease and 35.2% had heart disease. 33.8% had a family history of heart disease. 7% of them had previous myocardial infarction, 45.7% had previous coronary angiography and 28% had previous percutaneous coronary angioplasty. Contrast agent-related complications were found in 14.7%, major vascular complications in 10.8%, cerebrovascular complications in 2.6%, and renal complications in 4.2%. Major vascular complications were more common in women (p=0.041). Unemployed individuals had more contrast agent-related complications than employed individuals (p=0.032). Contrast agent-related complications were more common in individuals with chronic disease (p=0.015). Those with heart disease had more contrast agent-related complications (p=0.028), major vascular complications (p=0.003), and cerebrovascular complications (p=0.008).

Conclusion: Contrast agent-related and major vascular complications were found to be the most common during coronary angiography and intervention.

Keywords: Coronary angiography, Coronary angioplasty, Contrast agent, Renal complication, Vascular complication.

ÖZET

Amaç: Bu çalışma koroner anjiyografi ve perkütan koroner girişim yapılan hastalarda görülen komplikasyonların belirlenmesi amacıyla tanımlayıcı ve retrospektif bir çalışma olarak planlandı.

Gereç ve Yöntem: Çalışmanın örneklemini Mayıs 2020-Kasım 2020 tarihleri arasında İstanbul ilinde bulunan bir şehir hastanesinde koroner anjiyografi ve perkütan koroner girişim yapılan tüm hastaların verileri oluşturdu. Çalışmaya 18-80 yaş arasında olan 529 birey alındı.

Bulgular: Bireylerin yaş ortalaması 56,04±10,95 olduğu bulundu. Bireylerin %81,1'i bir kronik hastalığa sahipti. %33,8 bireyin ailede kalp hastalığı öyküsü bulunmaktaydı. %7 bireyin önceden miyokart infartüsü geçirdiği, %45,7 birey önceden koroner anjiyografi olduğu ve %28'nin önceden perkütan koroner anjiyoplasti olduğu bulundu. Bireylerin %14,7'sinde kontrast maddeye bağlı komplikasyonlar, %10,8'inde majör vasküler komplikasyonlar, %2,6'sında serebrovasküler komplikasyonlar ve %4,2'sinde renal komplikasyonlar olduğu saptandı. Çalışmada kadınlarda major vasküler komplikasyonlar daha fazla görüldü (p=0,041). Çalışmayan bireylerin kontrast maddeye bağlı komplikasyonları çalışan bireylere göre daha fazla olduğu bulundu (p=0,032). Kronik hastalığı olan bireylerde kontrast maddeye bağlı komplikasyonlar (p=0,015). Kalp hastalığı olan bireylerin kontrast maddeye bağlı komplikasyonlar (p=0,028), Majör vasküler komplikasyonlar (p=0,003) ve serebrovasküler komplikasyonlar (p=0,008) daha fazla olduğu saptandı.

Sonuç: Koroner anjiyografi ve girişim sırasında en fazla kontrast madde ve major vasküler komplikasyonların olduğu saptandı.

Anahtar kelimeler: Koroner anjiyografi, Koroner anjiyoplasti, Kontrast madde, Renal komplikasyon, Vasküler komplikasyon.

Sorumlu Yazar / Corresponding Author: Gülşah ÇAMCI, Assistant Prof., Marmara University, Health Sciences Faculty, Nursing Department, Nursing Department of Internal Medicine, Istanbul, Türkiye. **E-mail:** <u>gulsah.camci@marmara.edu.tr</u>

Bu makaleye attf yapmak için / Cite this article: Çamcı, G., Bayrak, B., Oğuz, S., Bülbül, G., & Uzun, F. (2023). Determining Complications in Patients Undergoing Coronary Angiography and Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: A Retrospective Study. *Gevher Nesibe Journal of Medical & Health Sciences*, 8(3),578-584 <u>http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8207448</u>

INTRODUCTION

Werner Forssmann was the first person to insert a catheter into the heart in 1929. Today, cardiac catheterization is routinely performed in hospitals all over the world (Ammann et al., 2003). Coronary angiography is the gold standard procedure for determining the extent and presence of atherosclerotic coronary artery disease. As with any invasive procedure, there may be patient-specific and procedural complications in coronary angiography and intervention. Complications range from minor problems with short-term sequelae to life-threatening situations that can cause irreversible damage if emergency care is not provided (Bayrak et al., 2019; Dinçkal & Okuyan, 2014; Oğuz & Çamcı, 2016; Oğuz, Erguvan, Ünal, Bayrak, & Çamcı, 2019; Tavakol, Ashraf, & Brener, 2012). Complications occurring during percutaneous coronary interventions and coronary angiography may differ depending on the patient, the operator performing the procedure, and the type of procedure performed (Dinckal & Okuyan, 2014). Contrast agent-related reactions, major vascular complications, acute renal failure, and cerebrovascular accidents are the most common complications during percutaneous coronary intervention and coronary angiography (Abdollahi, Mehranfard, Behnampour, & Kordnejad, 2015). These complications prolong the hospital stay and increase mortality and morbidity in patients with suspected coronary artery disease (Bitargil, Başbuğ, Göçe, Günerhan, & Karakurt, 2014). Comprehensive analyzes of complications were performed in the 1980s and early 1990s, demonstrating relative mortality rates between 0.1% and 0.2%, and overall complication rates between 0.8% and 1.8%. However, very limited data are available on the procedural complications of diagnostic cardiac catheterization in the last 10 years (Ammann et al., 2003). Therefore, this study aimed to determine the complications in patients who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention and coronary angiography.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Aim of the research

This study was planned as a descriptive and retrospective study to determine the complications seen in patients who underwent coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary intervention.

Research questions

What is the prevalence of complications in patients undergoing coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary intervention?

What is the incidence of coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary intervention complications according to the sociodemographic characteristics of the patients?

Population and Sample of the Research

The population and sample of the study included all patients who underwent coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary intervention between May 2020 and November 2020 in a City Hospital in Istanbul. The data of 529 individuals aged between 18-80 were included in the study.

Data Collection Tool

The patient information form and complications form were used for data collection. The patient information form included questions on sociodemographic details (age, gender, height-weight, education, occupation, economic status) and disease status (presence of chronic disease and heart disease, family history of heart disease, allergies, habits, drugs used, history of previous myocardial infarction, and history of previous angiography and percutaneous coronary intervention). The complications form included questions on contrast agent-related complications (itching, nausea, vomiting, dyspnoea, headache, etc.), major vascular complications (hematoma, pseudoaneurysm, dissection, spasm, etc.), cerebrovascular complications (cerebral embolism, bleeding, stroke, etc.), renal complications (acute renal failure), coronary artery dissection and perforation. Questions about complications can be answered as "Yes" and "No", and the frequency of complications was evaluated based on these answers. The data of the study were collected retrospectively from the hospital archive by file scanning. The opinions of two experts were taken for the data collection form.

Statistical Evaluation of Data

IBM SPSS statistical software was used while evaluating the findings obtained in the study. The fit of the variables to the normal distribution was evaluated with the Shapiro-Wilks test. Descriptive statistical

methods (standard deviation, mean, frequency) were used when evaluating the study data. The Chisquare test, Fisher's exact chi-square test, and continuity (Yates) correction were used to evaluate the qualitative data. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

Ethical Aspect of the Study

Ethics committee approval was obtained for the study (Date: 04.12.2020, Protocol No: 09.2020.1277). The study adhered to the Helsinki Declaration of Human Rights.

RESULT

 Table 1: Distribution of Sociodemographic Characteristics of the Participants (n=529)

Variables		n	%
	Female	186	35.2
Gender	Male	343	64.8
	Illiterate	52	9.8
	Literate	9	1.7
Education	Primary/Secondary	306	57.8
	High school	110	20.8
	Primary/Secondary	52	9.9
	20-34	11	2.1
Age	35-49	145	27.4
(Mean+SD=56.04±10.95)	50-64	249	47.1
	65 years and over	124	23.4
Employment status	Yes	316	59.7
Employment status	No	213	40.3
	Not enough	202	38.2
Economic Status	Just enough or more	327	61.8
	Underweight (<18.5)	2	0.4
Body mass İndex	Normal (18.5-24.99)	96	18.1
	Overweight (25.0-29.99)	202	38.2
	Obese (>30)	229	43.3
	Yes	429	81.1
Chronic disease	No	100	18.9
	Yes	186	35.2
Heart disease	No	343	64.8
	Yes	179	33.8
Family history of heart disease	No	350	66.2
History of Massessdiel Information	Yes	37	7
History of Miyocardial Infarction	No	492	93
	Yes	242	45.7
History of Coronary Anglography	No	287	54.3
	Yes	148	28
History of Percutaneous Coronary Intervention	No	381	72

Of the participants, 64.8% were male, 57.8% were primary/secondary school graduates, 47.1% were aged between 50-64 years and their mean age was 56.04 ± 10.95 . 59.7% were employed, 61.8% had an income equal to/more than their expenses, 43.3% were obese and 38.2% were overweight. 81.1% had a chronic disease, 35.2% had heart disease, and 33.8% had a family history of heart disease. Seven percent of them had a previous myocardial infarction, 45.7% had previous coronary angiography and 28% had previous percutaneous coronary angioplasty (Table 1).

Interventions (n=529)		
Complications	n	%
Contrast agent-related complications	78	14.7
Major vascular complications	57	10.8
Cerebrovascular complications	14	2.6
Renal complications	22	4.2

Table 2: Distribution of Complications in Coronary Angiography and Percutaneous Coronary Interventions (n=529)

Contrast agent-related complications were found in 14.7%, major vascular complications in 10.8%, cerebrovascular complications in 2.6%, and renal complications in 4.2% (Table 2).

 Table 3: Comparison of Complications in Coronary Angiography and Percutaneous Coronary

 Interventions by socio-demographic variables (n=529)

 Contrast agent-related complications

 Major vascular complications

Variables No Yes No Gender Female 34 18.3 152 81.7 27 14.5 159 85.5 Gender Female 34 12.8 299 82.7 30 8.7 155 85.5 Male 44 12.8 290 82.2 287 90.8 90.8 Employment Yes 38 12 278 88 29 9.2 287 90.8 Not 40 18.8 173 81.2 28 13.1 185 86.9 Just enough 37 18.3 165 81.7 23 11.4 179 88.6 Status Just enough 41 12.5 286 87.5 34 10.4 293 89.6 Gender No 71 16.6 358 83.4 49 11.4 380 88.6 Gender No 7 7 93 8			Contrast agent-related completations				Major vascular complications				
Variables n % n % n % n % n % n % Gender Female 34 18.3 152 81.7 27 14.5 159 85.5 Male 44 12.8 299 87.2 30 8.7 313 91.3 Employment Yes 38 12 278 88 29 9.2 287 90.8 Mote 40 18.8 173 81.2 28 13.1 185 86.9 Y2=4.617; p=0.032 y2=2.084; p=0.149 y2=0.149 y2=0.149 y3 88.6 Status Not enough 37 18.3 165 81.7 23 11.4 179 88.6 Status Just enough or more 71 16.6 358 83.4 49 11.4 380 88.6 Gender Yes 71 16.6 358 83.4 49 11.4 380			Ye	S	No		Yes		No		
Gender Female 34 18.3 152 81.7 27 14.5 159 85.5 Male 44 12.8 299 87.2 30 8.7 313 91.3 Employment Yes 38 12 278 88 29 9.2 287 90.8 Employment Yes 38 12 278 88 29 9.2 287 90.8 Employment Yes 38 12 278 88 29 9.2 287 90.8 Economic X2=4.617; p=0.02 ×22-0.82; y=0.14 ×2 288 81.7 23 11.4 293 89.6 Status Mot enough 37 18.3 165 81.7 23 11.4 293 89.6 Status Just enough 41 12.5 286 83.4 49 11.4 293 89.6 Gender Yes 71 16.6 358 83.4 8 92 92.4 Kiseae Yes 36 19.4 150 80.6 30 16.1 156 83.9 Kiseae Yes 36 19.4 150 80.6 30 16.	Variables		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Male 44 12.8 299 87.2 30 8.7 313 91.3 $\chi^2 = 2.851; p=0.091$ $\chi^2 = 4.176; p=0.041$ $\chi^2 = 0.04$ 88 29 9.2 287 90.8 Ron 40 18.8 173 81.2 28 13.1 185 86.9 Ves 38 12 278 88 29 9.2 287 90.8 No 40 18.8 173 81.2 28 13.1 185 86.9 Conomic Not enough 37 18.3 165 81.7 23 11.4 293 89.6 Status Just enough or more 41 12.5 286 83.4 49 11.4 80.8 88.6 Genoid Yes 71 6.6 358 83.4 49 11.4 80.8 83.9 Male 7 7 93 93 8 8 92 92.4 Male 36	Gender	Female	34	18.3	152	81.7	27	14.5	159	85.5	
$\chi2 = 2.851; p=0.091$ $\chi2=4.176; p=0.04$ EmploymentYes381227888299.228790.8No4018.817381.22813.118586.9 $\chi2=4.617; p=0.032$ $\chi2=2.084; p=0.149$ $\chi2=2.084; p=0.149$ $\chi2=2.084; p=0.149$ EconomicNot enough3718.316581.72311.417988.6StatusNot enough3718.316581.72311.417988.6Conomic $\chi2=3.317; p=0.069$ $\chi2=0.127; p=0.72$ $\chi2=0.127; p=0.72$ $\chi2=3.317; p=0.069$ $\chi2=0.92, q=0.13$ 88.6Gisease7116.635883.44911.438088.6disease72739393889292.4Heart diseaseYes3619.415080.63016.115683.9Mo4212.230187.8277.931.692.1Heart diseaseYes3619.415080.63016.115683.9Mo22=4.850; p=0.02YesYes3619.415080.63016.115683.9GenderYes3619.415080.63016.115683.9Mo22=4.850; p=0.02YesYes3617.79395.7936.830.996.2GenderYes13<		Male	44	12.8	299	87.2	30	8.7	313	91.3	
Employment Yes 38 12 278 88 29 9.2 287 90.8 No 40 18.8 173 81.2 28 13.1 185 86.9 Xo 22=4.617; p=0.032 x2=2.084; p=0.149 x2=2.084; p=0.149 x86.6 Status Just enough 37 18.3 165 81.7 23 11.4 179 88.6 Chronic y2=3.317; p=0.069 x2=0.127; p=0.722 x92.0 88.6 83.4 49 11.4 380 88.6 disease 71 16.6 358 83.4 49 11.4 380 88.6 disease 71 16.6 358 83.4 49 13.0 88.6 Heart disease Yes 36 19.4 150 80.6 30 16.1 156 83.9 Yes 36 19.4 150 80.6 30 16.1 92.1 92.1 92.1 92.1 92.1 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th colspan="3">χ2 =2.851; p=0.091</th><th colspan="5">χ2=4.176; p=0.041</th></td<>			χ2 =2.851; p=0.091			χ2=4.176; p=0.041					
No 40 18.8 173 81.2 28 13.1 185 86.9 Z2=4. 617; p=0.32 $\chi^2=2.084; p=0.14$ $\chi^2=2.084; p=0.14$ 23 11.4 179 88.6 Status Mot enough or more 37 18.3 165 81.7 23 11.4 179 88.6 Status Mot enough or more 41 12.5 286 87.5 34 10.4 293 89.6 Chronic disease X2=3.317; p=0.069 $\chi^2=0.127; p=0.72$ $\chi^2=0.127; p=0.72$ $\chi^2=0.127; p=0.72$ Chronic disease No 7 7 93 93 8 8 92 92.4 Mot 7 7 93 93 8 8 92 92.4 Heart disease Yes $\chi^2=5.834; p=0.015$ $\chi^2=0.988; p=0.32$ $\chi^2=0.988; p=0.32$ $\chi^2=0.988; p=0.32$ Heart disease Yes 36 80.6 30 16.1 156 83.9 Mot 42 12.2 301 87.6 31.3 36 32.1 36.1 32.1	Employment	Yes	38	12	278	88	29	9.2	287	90.8	
$\chi 2=4. 617; \mathbf{p=0.032}$ $\chi 2=2.084; \mathbf{p=0.14}$ Economic 37 18.3 165 81.7 23 11.4 179 88.6 Status 11.4 11.4 11.4 11.4 11.4 11.4 11.4 11.4 11.4 11.4 380 88.6 Chronic disease Yes 71 16.6 358 83.4 49 11.4 380 88.6 disease Yes 71 16.6 358 83.4 49 11.4 380 88.6 disease Yes $72=5.884; \mathbf{p=0.015}$ $\chi 2=0.988; \mathbf{p=0.32}$ Chronic disease Yes 36 19.4 150 80.6 <th co<="" th=""><th></th><th>No</th><th>40</th><th>18.8</th><th>173</th><th>81.2</th><th>28</th><th>13.1</th><th>185</th><th>86.9</th></th>	<th></th> <th>No</th> <th>40</th> <th>18.8</th> <th>173</th> <th>81.2</th> <th>28</th> <th>13.1</th> <th>185</th> <th>86.9</th>		No	40	18.8	173	81.2	28	13.1	185	86.9
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Female & 37 18.3 165 81.7 23 11.4 179 88.6 \\ \hline Status & Just enough or more & $$2^{23.317}$ = 0.069$ $$286 87.5 34 10.4 293 89.6 \\ \hline $$2^{23.317}$ = 0.069$ $$$2^{2-0.127}$ = 0.722$ \\ \hline $$2^{2-0.127}$ = 0.722$ $$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$			χ2=4. 617; p =	χ2=4. 617; p=0.032			χ2=2.084; p=0.149				
Status Just enough or more 41 12.5 286 87.5 34 10.4 293 89.6 $\chi^2=3.317; p=0.069$ $\chi^2=0.127; p=0.722$ $\chi^2=0.127; p=0.722$ Chronic disease Yes 71 16.6 358 83.4 49 11.4 380 88.6 No 7 7 93 93 8 8 92 92.4 Kesse No 7 7 93 93 8 8 92 92.4 Heart disease Yes 36 19.4 150 80.6 30 16.1 156 83.9 No 42 12.2 301 87.8 27 7.9 316 92.1 $\chi^2=4.850; p=0.028$ $\chi^2=8.553; p=0.003$ $\chi^2=8.53; p=0.003$ $\chi^2=8.53; p=0.003$ $\chi^2=8.53; p=0.003$ $\chi^2=8.53; p=0.603$ $\chi^2=8.53; p=0.603$ $\chi^2=8.53; p=0.603$ Gender Female 8 4.3 178 95.7 9 4.8 177 95.2	Economic	Not enough	37	18.3	165	81.7	23	11.4	179	88.6	
$\chi2=3.317; p=0.069$ $\chi2=0.127; p=0.722$ Chronic diseaseYes7116.635883.44911.438088.6No779393889292.4 $\chi2=5.884; p=0.015$ $\chi2=0.988; p=0.32$ $\chi2=0.988; p=0.32$ $\chi2=0.988; p=0.32$ Heart diseaseYes3619.415080.63016.115683.9No4212.230187.8277.931692.1 $\chi2=4.850; p=0.028$ $\chi2=4.850; p=0.028$ $\chi2=8.553; p=0.003$ $\chi2=8.553; p=0.003$ Cerebrovascular complicationsNo4212.230187.8277.931692.1 $\chi2=4.850; p=0.028$ YesNoYesNo <th>Status</th> <th>Just enough or more</th> <th>41</th> <th>12.5</th> <th>286</th> <th>87.5</th> <th>34</th> <th>10.4</th> <th>293</th> <th>89.6</th>	Status	Just enough or more	41	12.5	286	87.5	34	10.4	293	89.6	
Chronic disease Yes 71 16.6 358 83.4 49 11.4 380 88.6 Mo 7 7 93 93 8 8 92 92.4 $\chi^2=5.884;$ p=0.015 $\chi^2=0.988;$ p=0.320 $\chi^2=0.988;$ p=0.320 Heart disease Yes 36 19.4 150 80.6 30 16.1 156 83.9 No 42 12.2 301 87.8 27 7.9 316 92.1 $\chi^2=4.850;$ p=0.028 $\chi^2=8.55;$ p=0.003 $\chi^2=8.55;$ p=0.003 $\chi^2=8.55;$ p=0.003 $\chi^2=8.55;$ p=0.003 $\chi^2=8.55;$ p=0.003 $\chi^2=1.02,$ No $\chi^2=3.048;$ π^2			χ2=3.317; p=	χ2=3.317; p=0.069			χ2=0.127; p=0.722				
No779393889292.4 $\chi2=5.884; p=0.015$ $\chi2=0.988; p=0.320$ Heart diseaseYes3619.415080.63016.115683.9No4212.230187.8277.931692.1 $\chi2=4.850; p=0.028$ $\chi2=8.553; p=0.032$ $\chi2=8.553; p=0.003$ $\chi2=8.553; p=0.003$ Cerebrowscular complicationsRemal complicationsYesNo1%n%GenderFemale84.317895.794.817795.2Male61.733798.3133.833096.2Chronic diseaseYes1334169717441296Meart diseaseYes105.417694.6105.417694.6Mo11.233998.8123.533196.5Heart diseaseYes105.417694.6105.417694.6No41.233998.8123.533196.5Yes105.417694.6105.417694.6Mate105.417694.6105.417694.6Mate105.417694.6105.417694.6Mate105.41233998	Chronic disease	Yes	71	16.6	358	83.4	49	11.4	380	88.6	
$\chi 2=5.843; \ p=0.015$ $\chi 2=0.988; \ p=0.320$ Heart diseaseYes3619.415080.63016.115683.9No4212.230187.8277.931692.1 $\chi 2=4.850; \ p=0.028$ $\chi 2=8.553; \ p=0.003$ $\chi 2=8.553; \ p=0.003$ $\chi 2=8.553; \ p=0.003$ Cerebrovascular complicationsRenal complicationsResNoYesNoGenderFemale84.317895.794.817795.2Male61.733798.3133.833096.2Chronic diseaseYes1334169717441296Meart diseaseYes105.417694.6105.417694.6No1299955959595Keart diseaseYes105.417694.6105.417694.6No41.233998.8123.533196.5		No	7	7	93	93	8	8	92	92.4	
Heart diseaseYes3619.415080.63016.115683.9No4212.230187.8277.931692.1 $\chi^2=4.850; \mathbf{p=0.028}$ $\chi^2=8.55; \mathbf{p=0.03}$ $\chi^2=8.55; \mathbf{p=0.03}$ $\chi^2=8.55; \mathbf{p=0.03}$ Cerebrovascular complicationsRenal complicationsYesNoYesNoYesNoMale61.733798.3133.833096.2Chronic diseaseYes1334169717441296Matr diseaseYes105.417694.6105.417694.6Matr diseaseYes105.417694.6105.417694.6Mo41.233998.8123.53196.5Yes105.41.7694.6105.417694.6No41.233998.8123.53196.5			χ2=5.884; p =	χ2=5.884; p=0.015			χ2=0.988; p=0.320				
No4212.230187.8277.931692.1 $\chi 2=4.850; \mathbf{p=0.028}$ $\chi 2=8.553; \mathbf{p=0.003}$ Cerebrovascular complicationsRenal complicationsYesNoYesNoNon%n%n%n%n%%1.733798.3133.8%1.733798.3133.8330%96.2 $\chi 2=3.048; \mathbf{p=0.93}$ $\chi 2=0.333; \mathbf{p=0.564}$ Chronic diseaseYes1334169717441296%119999559595 $\chi 2=1.297; \mathbf{p=0.255}$ $\chi 2=0.219; \mathbf{p=0.640}$ $\chi 2=0.219; \mathbf{p=0.640}$ Heart diseaseYes105.417694.6105.417694.6No41.233998.8123.533196.5 $\chi 2=8.297; \mathbf{p=0.008}$ $\chi 2=1.067; \mathbf{p=0.362}$ $\chi 2=1.067; \mathbf{p=0.362}$ $\chi 2=1.067; \mathbf{p=0.362}$	Heart disease	Yes	36	19.4	150	80.6	30	16.1	156	83.9	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c } \chi 2=4.850; \ \mathbf{p}=0.028 & \chi 2=8.553; \ \mathbf{p}=0.003 & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf$		No	42	12.2	301	87.8	27	7.9	316	92.1	
Cerebrovascular complicationsRenale complicationsYesNoYesNon%n%n%GenderFemale84.317895.794.817795.2Male61.733798.3133.833096.2 $\chi 2=3.048; p=0.93$ $\chi 2=0.333; p=0.564$ $\chi 2=0.564$ $\chi 2=1.297; p=0.255$ $\chi 2=0.219; p=0.640$ Heart diseaseNo119999559595.6Heart diseaseYes105.417694.6105.417694.6No41.233998.8123.533196.5 $\chi 2=8.297; p=0.008$ $\chi 2=1.067; p=0.362$ $\chi 2=1.067; p=0.362$ $\chi 2=1.067; p=0.362$ $\chi 2=1.067; p=0.362$			χ2=4.850; p=0.028				χ2=8.553; p=0.003				
YesNoYesNon%n%n%n%GenderFemale84.317895.794.817795.2Male61.733798.3133.83096.2 $\chi2=3.048; p=0.9$ $\chi2=3.048; p=0.9$ $\chi2=0.33; p=0.54$ 1296Chronic diseaseYes1334169717441296No119999559595Heart diseaseYes105.417694.6105.417694.6No41.233998.8123.533196.5 $\chi2=8.297; p=0.0K$ $\chi2=1.067; p=0.3C$ $\chi2=1.067; p=0.3C$ $\chi2=1.067; p=0.3C$ $\chi2=1.067; p=0.3C$			Cerebrovascular complications			Renal complications					
n%n%n%n%GenderFemale84.317895.794.817795.2Male61.733798.3133.833096.2 $\chi2=3.048; p=0.93$ $\chi2=3.048; p=0.93$ $\chi2=0.33; p=0.564$ $\chi2=0.33; p=0.564$ Chronic diseaseYes1334169717441296Mo119999559595Heart diseaseYes105.417694.6105.417694.6No41.233998.8123.533196.5 $\chi2=8.297; \mathbf{p}=0.008$ $\chi2=1.067; p=0.362$ $\chi2=1.067; p=0.362$ $\chi2=1.067; p=0.362$			Yes		No		Yes		No		
GenderFemale84.317895.794.817795.2Male61.733798.3133.833096.2 $\chi 2=3.048; p=0.93$ $\chi 2=0.333; p=0.564$ $\chi 2=0.333; p=0.564$ Chronic diseaseYes1334169717441296No119999559595 $\chi 2=1.297; p=0.255$ $\chi 2=0.219; p=0.640$ Heart diseaseYes105.417694.6105.417694.6No41.233998.8123.533196.5 $\chi 2=8.297; p=0.008$ $\chi 2=1.067; p=0.362$ $\chi 2=1.067; p=0.362$			n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Male61.733798.3133.833096.2 $\chi 2=3.048; p=0.93$ $\chi 2=0.333; p=0.564$ $\chi 2=0.333; p=0.564$ $\chi 2=0.333; p=0.564$ Chronic diseaseYes1334169717441296No119999559595 $\chi 2=1.297; p=0.255$ $\chi 2=0.219; p=0.640$ $\chi 2=0.219; p=0.640$ $\chi 2=0.219; p=0.640$ Heart diseaseYes105.417694.6105.417694.6No41.233998.8123.533196.5 $\chi 2=8.297; p=0.008$ $\chi 2=1.067; p=0.362$ $\chi 2=1.067; p=0.362$ $\chi 2=0.219; p=0.362$	Gender	Female	8	4.3	178	95.7	9	4.8	177	95.2	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Male	6	1.7	337	98.3	13	3.8	330	96.2	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			χ2=3.048; p=0.93				χ2=0.333; p=0.564				
No119999559595 $\chi^{2=1.297; p=0.255}$ $\chi^{2=0.219; p=0.640}$ Heart diseaseYes105.417694.6105.417694.6No41.233998.8123.533196.5 $\chi^{2=8.297; p=0.008}$ $\chi^{2=1.067; p=0.362}$	Chronic disease	Yes	13	3	416	97	17	4	412	96	
$\chi 2=1.297; p=0.255$ $\chi 2=0.219; p=0.640$ Heart disease Yes 10 5.4 176 94.6 10 5.4 176 94.6 No 4 1.2 339 98.8 12 3.5 331 96.5 $\chi 2=8.297; p=0.008$ $\chi 2=1.067; p=0.362$		No	1	1	99	99	5	5	95	95	
Heart diseaseYes105.417694.6105.417694.6No41.233998.8123.533196.5 $\chi 2=8.297;$ p=0.008 $\chi 2=1.067;$ p=0.362			χ2=1.297; p=0.255				χ2=0.219; p=0.640				
No 4 1.2 339 98.8 12 3.5 331 96.5 $\chi 2=8.297;$ p=0.008 $\chi 2=1.067;$ p=0.362 $\chi 2=0.362$ $\chi 2=0.362$ $\chi 2=0.362$	Heart disease	Yes	10	5.4	176	94.6	10	5.4	176	94.6	
χ2=8.297; p=0.008 χ2=1.067; p=0.362		No	4	1.2	339	98.8	12	3.5	331	96.5	
			χ2=8.297; p=0.008			χ2=1.067; p=0.362					

 $\chi 2^=$ Chi-Square Test

Major vascular complications were more common in women in the study (p=0.041). Unemployed individuals had more contrast agent-related complications than employed individuals (p=0.032). Contrast agent-related complications were more common in individuals with chronic disease (p==0.015). Those with heart disease had more contrast agent-related complications (p=0.028), major vascular complications (p=0.003), and cerebrovascular complications (p=0.008). There was no statistically significant relationship between economic status and complications (p>0.05) (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

Contrast agent-related complications were found in 14.7% of the participants (Table 2). In a study conducted in 176 hospitals across the country in the United States between 1973-74 years, the mortality rate due to coronary angiography was 0.14%, and the incidence of contrast agent reaction was quite high compared to previous years (Adams & Abrams, 1979). Contrast agent-induced neurotoxicity is a very rare condition and advanced age, male gender and hypertension are its major risk factors. Although the prognosis of contrast agent neurotoxicity is benign, it can potentially cause permanent neurological damage or death. One study found that patients with ophthalmic involvement had a higher propensity for permanent damage. The same study emphasized that the dose of the contrast agent used in coronary angiography was important (Kocabay et al., 2014). Recent studies examining the complication of contrast media are insufficient. Compared to existing studies, contrast agent complications were found to be higher in this study.

The prevalence of major vascular complications in the patients participating in this study was found to be 10.8%. In the study of Bitargil et al., the incidence of major vascular complications was determined as 3.7%. The physical examinations of 75 patients who developed vascular complications demonstrated swelling in the femoral region in 52, bleeding in the form of leakage at the intervention site in 21, increase in diameter and Homans positivity in the extremity in one, and pulselessness and coldness and pallor in the extremity in one patient (Bitargil et al., 2014). In another study, arterial obstruction, hemorrhage, and pseudoaneurysm were more common with transaxillary angiography than with transaxillary and translumber angiography (Hessel, Adams, & Abrams, 1981). Of the 250 patients who underwent radial angiography, five had failed radial attempts and five had arterial spasms (Hildick-Smith et al., 1998). In a meta-analysis, radial angiography and interventions had less major bleeding than femoral interventions. The radial intervention was found to reduce the length of hospital stay by 0.4 days and the risk of death tended to decrease as well (Jolly, Amlani, Hamon, Yusuf, & Mehta, 2009). In some studies, bleeding was seen as the most important vascular complication in coronary angiography and angioplasty (Bajraktari et al., 2021; Cantor et al., 2015; Erdem, Kurtoğlu, Kücük, İlgenli, & Kızmaz, 2021; Gayed, Yadak, Qamhia, Daralammouri, & Ohlow, 2017; Hahalis et al., 2016; Louvard, Lefevre, Allain, & Morice, 2001; Roghani-Dehkordi et al., 2018). Berry et al. emphasized that femoral hematoma occurred in 22% and 41% of coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary interventions, respectively (Berry, Kelly, Cobbe, & Eteiba, 2004). In this study, more major vascular complications were seen compared to other studies except for the study of Berry et al. It is thought that this may be due to the different location of the treated area (femoral, radial), the inability to apply compression after the procedure, the use of heparin, and the experience of the person doing the intervention.

The prevalence of cerebrovascular complications was found to be 2.6% in the patients in this study. Duraklı et al. reported that the prevalence of cerebrovascular complications increased up to 4% (Durakli, Yetimalar, Seçil, Öztürk, & Başoğlu, 2006). In a retrospective study, stroke was diagnosed in 100 of 650.674 coronary angiography patients and 57 of 526.487 percutaneous coronary interventions (Staszczak et al., 2021). Cerebrovascular complications after percutaneous coronary intervention, although rare, have been found to be associated with high rates of in-hospital death and acute renal failure, often requiring dialysis (Dukkipati et al., 2004). This study shows similar results to the studies above. Advanced age, renal failure, diabetes, hypertension, and a history of cerebrovascular accidents are thought to be important risk factors for the occurrence of cerebrovascular complications.

The prevalence of renal complications in the patients participating in this study was found to be 4.2%. In the Competence Guidelines in Interventional Cardiology published by the Turkish Society of Cardiology, the incidence of renal complications was determined to be between 10-40% (TKD, 2005). The prevalence of contrast nephropathy was found to be 42.5% in a prospective cohort study conducted in Cuba between January 2016 and July 2017. In the study, the risk of developing contrast nephropathy

was increased in patients with significant coronary occlusion (Hernández González, Soler Morejón, & Tamargo Barbeito, 2021). There were fewer renal complications in this study compared to other studies. It is thought that failure to provide hydration assistance to patients at risk before the procedure, existing dehydration, and previous renal problems are important in the development of renal complications in coronary angiography and angioplasty.

CONCLUSION

It was determined that complications associated with the contrast agent and major vascular complications were the most common ones during coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary intervention. Due vigilance should be taken for these complications before the procedure.

Conflict of interest

All authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

No funding was received for this project.

REFERENCES

- Abdollahi, A. A., Mehranfard, S., Behnampour, N., & Kordnejad, A. M. (2015). Effect of positioning and early ambulation on coronary angiography complications: a randomized clinical trial. *Journal of caring sciences*, 4(2), 125.
- Adams, D., & Abrams, H. (1979). Complications of coronary arteriography: a follow-up report. *Cardiovascular radiology*, 2(2), 89-96.
- Ammann, P., Brunner-La Rocca, H. P., Angehrn, W., Roelli, H., Sagmeister, M., & Rickli Md, H. (2003). Procedural complications following diagnostic coronary angiography are related to the operator's experience and the catheter size. *Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions*, 59(1), 13-18.
- Bajraktari, G., Rexhaj, Z., Elezi, S., Zhubi-Bakija, F., Bajraktari, A., Bytyçi, I., . . . Henein, M. Y. (2021). Radial Access for Coronary Angiography Carries Fewer Complications Compared with Femoral Access: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Journal of clinical medicine*, *10*(10), 2163.
- Bayrak, B., Oğuz, S., Arslan, S., Candar, B., Keleş, S., Karagöz, B., & Akpınar, G. (2019). Determination of Perceived Stress in Patients with Myocardial Infarction. *Turk J Cardiovasc Nurs*, 10(23), 129-137.
- Berry, C., Kelly, J., Cobbe, S. M., & Eteiba, H. (2004). Comparison of femoral bleeding complications after coronary angiography versus percutaneous coronary intervention. *The American journal of cardiology*, 94(3), 361-363.
- Bitargil, M., Başbuğ, H., Göçe, r. H., Günerhan, Y., & Karakurt, A. (2014). Koroner Anjiyografi Sonucu Gelişen Vasküler Komplikasyonlara Yaklaşımlarımız. *Damar Cer Derd*, 23(3), 164-167.
- Cantor, W. J., Mehta, S. R., Yuan, F., Džavík, V., Worthley, M., Niemelä, K., . . . Widimsky, P. (2015). Radial versus femoral access for elderly patients with acute coronary syndrome undergoing coronary angiography and intervention: insights from the RIVAL trial. American heart journal, 170(5), 880-886.
- Dinçkal, M. H., & Okuyan, E. (2014). Serebrovasküler Komplikasyonlar ve Tedavisi. In K. C. & E. A.M (Eds.), *Tanıdan Girişime Perkütan Koroner İşlemler* (1 ed., pp. 349-354). İstanbul: Akademi Yayınevi.
- Dukkipati, S., O'Neill William, W., Harjai Kishore, J., Sanders William, P., Deo, D., Boura Judith, A., . . . Kahn Joel, K. (2004). Characteristics of cerebrovascular accidents after percutaneous coronary interventions. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 43(7), 1161-1167. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2003.11.033
- Durakli, M., Yetimalar, Y., Seçil, Y., Öztürk, N., & Başoğlu, M. (2006). Koroner Anjiyografi Sonrası Nörolojik Komplikasyon Gelişen Bir Olgu. *Journal of Neurological Sciences (Turkish)*, 23(4), 322-325.
- Erdem, K., Kurtoğlu, E., Küçük, M. A., İlgenli, T. F., & Kızmaz, M. (2021). Distal transradial versus conventional transradial access in acute coronary syndrome. *Turk Kardiyol Dern Ars*, 49(4), 257-265.
- Gayed, M., Yadak, N., Qamhia, W., Daralammouri, Y., & Ohlow, M.-A. (2017). Comorbidities and Complications in Nonagenarians Undergoing Coronary Angiography and Intervention Tertiary Center Analysi. *International heart journal*, 16-083.
- Hahalis, G., Tsigkas, G., Kakkos, S., Panagopoulos, A., Tsota, I., Davlouros, P., . . . Christodoulou, I. (2016). Vascular complications following transradial and transulnar coronary angiography in 1600 consecutive patients. *Angiology*, 67(5), 438-443.
- Hernández González, A., Soler Morejón, C., & Tamargo Barbeito, T. O. (2021). Contrast-induced nephropathy associated factors in patients with significant coronary obstruction. *International Journal of Medical and Surgical Sciences*, 8(3), 1-11. doi:https://doi.org/10.32457/ijmss.v8i3.1567

Hessel, S. J., Adams, D. F., & Abrams, H. L. (1981). Complications of angiography. Radiology, 138(2), 273-281.

- Hildick-Smith, D. J., Lowe, M. D., Walsh, J. T., Ludman, P. F., Stephens, N. G., Schofield, P. M., . . . Petch, M. C. (1998). Coronary angiography from the radial artery–experience, complications and limitations. *International journal of cardiology*, 64(3), 231-239.
- Jolly, S. S., Amlani, S., Hamon, M., Yusuf, S., & Mehta, S. R. (2009). Radial versus femoral access for coronary angiography or intervention and the impact on major bleeding and ischemic events: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *American heart journal*, 157(1), 132-140.
- Kocabay, G., Karabay, C., Kalayci, A., Akgun, T., Guler, A., Oduncu, V., . . . Kirma, C. (2014). Contrast-induced neurotoxicity after coronary angiography. *Herz*, *39*(4), 522-527.
- Louvard, Y., Lefevre, T., Allain, A., & Morice, M. C. (2001). Coronary angiography through the radial or the femoral approach: the CARAFE study. *Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions*, 52(2), 181-187.
- Oğuz, S., & Çamcı, G. (2016). Coronary Artery Disease and Occupational Life Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing, 7(12), 15-23.
- Oğuz, S., Erguvan, B., Ünal, G., Bayrak, B., & Çamcı, G. (2019). Determination of Klowledge of Cardiovasculer Disease Risk Factors Among University Students. *MN kardiyoloji*, 26(3), 184-191.
- Roghani-Dehkordi, F., Mansouri, R., Khosravi, A., Mahaki, B., Akbarzadeh, M., & Kermani-Alghoraishi, M. (2018). Transulnar versus transradial approach for coronary angiography and angioplasty: Considering their complications. *ARYA atherosclerosis*, 14(3), 128.
- Staszczak, B., Malinowski, K., Siudak, Z., Wanha, W., Surdacki, A., Wojakowski, W., . . . Januszek, R. (2021). Frequency and predictors of coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary intervention related stroke. *European Heart Journal*, 42(Supplement_1), ehab724. 2153.
- Tavakol, M., Ashraf, S., & Brener, S. J. (2012). Risks and complications of coronary angiography: a comprehensive review. *Global journal of health science*, 4(1), 65.
- TKD. (2005). A Competency Guide in Interventional Cardiology of Turkish Society of Cardiology. *Turk Kardiyol Dern Ars*, 33(1), 28-68.