GEVHER NESIBE JOURNAL OF MEDICAL & HEALTH SCIENCES | ISSN 2717-7394

Arrival Date: 19.09.2022 | Published Date: 30.11.2022 | 2022, Vol: 7, Issue: 21 | pp: 160-167 | Doi Number: http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7392821

EXPOSURE TO VIOLENCE, PSYCHOLOGICAL RESILIENCE AND BURNOUT IN FILIATION WORKERS IN THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

COVID-19 PANDEMİSİNDE FİLYASYON ÇALIŞANLARINDA ŞİDDETE UĞRAMA, PSİKOLOJİK DAYANIKLILIK VE TÜKENMİŞLİK

Mustafa BEYOĞLU ^[1], Ayşegül ERDOĞAN ^[1], Erhan KAYA ^[1]

¹Onikişubat İlçe Sağlık Müdürlüğü, Kahramanmaraş, Türkiye ² Sütçü İmam Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Kahramanmaraş, Türkiye

ABSTRACT

Objectives: The aim of the study is to evaluate the filiation workers, who play a very important role in the epidemic, in terms of exposure to violence, burnout and psychological resilience. Material And Methods: In the cross-sectional study, 131 filiation workers who were active during the epidemic in Kahramanmaras province were interviewed with face-to-face between 2021-2022. Sociodemographic information, violence exposure status were asked, and Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) and psychological resilience scale for adults (RSA) were administered to the participants. **Results:** The average age of the participants in our study is 34.23±7.66. In addition, 79.4% are women, 73.3% are married and 57.3% have children. 19.8% of the fillation workers are physicians, 22.1% are dentists, 58.1% are other health personnel. In our study, 72.5% of the healthcare workers were exposured to verbal violence, 68.7% to psychological violence, 3.1% to physical violence, and 0.8% to sexual violence during their filiation work. Health workers aged 30 and under were statistically significantly more exposed to verbal and psychological violence. In addition, statistically significant differences were found in experiencing psychological violence according to the job title (p<0.05). In our study, a positive significant relationship was found between the personal accomplishment score, which is a subgroup of the burnout scale, and the psychological resilience scale (RSA) score for adults. Depersonalization score has a significant negative relationship with RSA.

Conclusion: Filiation workers, who are the most important people in the field in epidemic control, are vulnerable. In order to prevent the spread of the disease and to make effective filiation, the working order of healthcare workers should be arranged in a way that does not cause burnout. Also, the filiation workers must be accompanied by the security forces

Keywords: Coronavirus, Healthcare Workers, Violence, Burnout.

ÖZET

Amaç: Çalışmanın amacı, salgında çok önemli rol üstlenen sahada aktif şekilde görev alan filyasyon çalışanlarını uğramış oldukları şiddet, tükenmişlikleri ve psikolojik dayanıklılıkları açısından değerlendirmektir.

Materyal ve Metot: Kesitsel tipteki çalışmada Kahramanmaraş ilinde salgın sürecinde aktif görev yapan 131 filyasyon çalışanına 2021-2022 yılları arasında yüz yüze görüşme ile anket yapılmıştır. Sosyodemografik bilgiler, şiddete uğrama durumları sorulmuş, Maslach tükenmişlik ölçeği (MTÖ) ve yetişkinler için psikolojik dayanıklılık (PDAY) katılımcılara uygulanmıştır. ölçeği Bulgular: Çalışmamızda katılımcıların yaş ortalaması 34.23±7,66'dir. Ayrıca %79.4 kadın, %73.3'ü evli, %57.3'ü çocuk sahibidir. Filyasyon çalışanlarının %19.8'i tabip, %22.1'i diş tabibi, %58.1'i diğer sağlık personelleridir. Sağlık çalışanlarının filyasyonda görev aldıkları andan itibaren %72.5'i sözel siddete, %68.7'si psikolojik şiddete, %3.1'i fiziksel şiddete, %0.8'i ise cinsel şiddete uğramışlardır. 30 yaş ve altındaki çalışanların istatistiksel olarak anlamlı derecede daha fazla sözel ve psikolojik şiddete uğradıkları görülmüştür. Ayrıca unvana göre psikolojik şiddete uğramada istatistiksel anlamlı farklar bulunmuştur (p < 0.05). Çalışmamızda tükenmişlik ölçeği alt grubu olan kişisel başarı skorunun yetişkinler için psikolojik dayanıklılık ölçeği (PDAY) skoru ile pozitif, duyarsızlaşma skorunun ise PDAY ile negatif yönde anlamlı ilişkisi bulunmuştur.

Sonuç: Salgın kontrolünde sahadaki en önemli zinciri filyasyon çalışanları savunmasız durumdadır. Hastalığın yayılmasını engellemek ve etkin filyasyon yapmak için sağlık çalışanlarının çalışma düzeni ve iş yoğunluğunu tükenmişliğe neden olmayacak şekilde düzenlenmesi ayrıca filyasyon ekiplerine güvenlik güçlerinin de eşlik etmesi sağlanmalıdır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Coronavirüs, Sağlık Çalışanları, Şiddet, Tükenmişlik.

Sorumlu Yazar / Corresponding Author: Mustafa BEYOĞLU, Uzman Doktor, Onikişubat İlçe Sağlık Müdürlüğü, Kahramanmaraş, Türkiye. E-mail: <u>mstfbeyoglu@gmail.com</u>

Bu makaleye attf yapmak için / Cite this article: Beyoğlu M., Erdoğan A., Kaya E. (2022). Exposure to Violence, Psychological Resilience and Burnout in Filiation Workers in the Covid-19 Pandemic. *Gevher Nesibe Journal of Medical & Health Sciences*, 7(21), 160-167. <u>http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7392821</u>

INTRODUCTION

The SARS CoV-2 virus, which causes Coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19), was first identified in December 2019 in Wuhan, China (WHO, 2019a). The World Health Organization (WHO) declared a pandemic on March 11, 2020 for this disease, which has the ability to pass from person to person and spreads in a short time (WHO, 2019b).

In this pandemic caused by COVID-19 disease, active surveillance system and systematic filiation are required to control the epidemic (Pala, 2020). Filiation literally means to find the source in infectious diseases. However, filiation includes the work of detecting the first case in infectious disease epidemics, as well as the people who came into contact with this case or other cases (Öztek, Z. 1998; Sağlık Bakanlığı [SB], 2020). Filiation, which is the classic epidemic control strategy to fight infectious diseases, is a field work that breaks the chain of infection starting from the source (Simsek et al., 2020). Filiation teams were formed in Turkey for the COVID-19 outbreak. Isolation and contact tracing of definite cases, and sampling at home in line with the guide published by the Ministry of Health, were carried out by the filiation teams with intensive work in the field (Erdem et al., 2021). In the COVID-19 epidemic, fatigue, burnout, boredom and hopelessness have gradually started to appear in healthcare workers after the intense work tempo. In a survey study, 77.6% of healthcare professionals stated that their workload increased during the pandemic, 82% felt tired, and 61.5% were hopeless (Saatci, 2020). Apart from the increasing workload, violence against healthcare professionals by patients, their relatives and other individuals carries a very important risk feature (Cevizci and Müezzin, 2019). While healthcare professionals respond to the needs of patients, they may be vulnerable to some risks. During the COVID-19 outbreak, this pressure on healthcare workers may increase even more.

The aim of the study is to evaluate the filiation workers who took an active role in the field, which played a very important role in the epidemic, in terms of the violence they suffered, their burnout, their psychological resilience and relationships. We aimed to reveal possible causes, to take precautions at the maximum level and to evaluate them in terms of minimizing the effects.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

In the cross-sectional study, a face-to-face interview was conducted with 131 filiation workers who worked actively in the epidemic process in Kahramanmaraş between 01.01.2021-30.09.2022. Sociodemographic information, exposure to violence, Maslach burnout scale (MBI), and adult resilience scale (PDAY) were administered to the participants after their consent was obtained.

Data collection tools

Questionnaire form: The sociodemographic information of Filyasyon employees and their exposure to violence are included in the questionnaire.

Maslach Burnout Scale (**MBI**): It was developed by Maslach and Jackson in 1981 (Maslach and Jackson, 1981). The Turkish reliability and validity studies of the scale were performed by Ergin in 1992 (Ergin, 2021). The MBI, which is used to measure the level of burnout, is a 5-point Likert-type scale (0: Never, 1: Rarely, 2: Sometimes, 3: Often, 4: Always) (79). It consists of three dimensions: "Emotional Exhaustion" (EE), "Depersonalization" (DP) and "Personal Accomplishment" (PA) and a total of 22 items. Individuals experiencing burnout are expected to have high EE and DP scores, and low PA scores.

The Resilience Scale for Adults (RSA): It was first developed in 5 dimensions by Friborg et al (Friborg et al., 2003; Friborg et al., 2005). Later, it was found that it better explained the resilience model with its 6-dimensional structure: self-perception, future perception, structural style, social competence, family cohesion and social resources. The scale was adapted into Turkish by Basim and Çetin (Basim and Çetin, 2011). Since it was preferred in our study to increase psychological resilience as the scores increased, the answer boxes were processed as 1-2-3-4-5 from left to right. 1,3,4,8,11-16,23-25,27,31,33 questions were reverse coded for the 33 questions and 5-point scale.

Analysis

The data were analyzed in the SPSS 22 package program. The conformity of the variables to the normal distribution was evaluated with the Shapiro-Wilk test. Mann Whitney U test, Kruskal Wallis test and Spearman's correlation analysis were used for the analysis of continuous variables that did not show

normal distribution. Descriptive statistics are expressed as mean, standard deviation, number and percentage. Pearson chi-square test was used for the differences between categorical variables, and p<0.05 was considered significant in statistical analysis.

Permission from the local ethics committee (decision no:14 date:08/07/20) was obtained for the study and it was carried out in accordance with the Helsinki declaration. Inclusion criteria for the study; being over the age of 18, working in filiation, working in Kahramanmaraş. Exclusion criteria from the study; Being under the age of 18, not working in filiation, working outside Kahramanmaraş

RESULTS

The average age of the participants in our study was 34.23 ± 7.66 . In addition, 104 (79.4%) women, 96 (73.3%) are married, 75 (57.3%) have children. Considering their titles, 26 (19.8%) physicians, 29 (22.1%) dentists, and 76 (58.1%) other health personnel are medical personnel. 104 (79.8%) of the participants work in shifts. Considering the cases of violence, 95 (72.5%) of the employees stated that they were subjected to verbal violence, 90 (68.7%) to psychological violence, 4 (3.1%) to physical violence, and 1 (0.8%) to sexual violence (Table 1).

Category variables		n	%
Age	≤30	57	43,5
	>30	74	56,5
Gender	Male	27	20,6
	Female	104	79,4
Marital statüs	Married	96	73,3
	Single	35	26,7
Title	Physicians	26	19,8
	Dentists	29	22,1
	Other health personnel	76	58,1
Having children	Yes	75	57,3
	No	56	42,7
Working order	Worktime	27	20,6
	Shift	104	79,4
Verbal violence	Yes	95	72,5
	No	36	27,5
Psychological violence	Yes	90	68,7
	No	41	31,3
Physical violence	Yes	95	3,1
-	No	36	96,9
Sexual violence	Yes	1	0,8
	No	130	99,2
Total		131	100,0

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics and exposure to violence of filiation workers

When the cases of exposure to verbal and psychological violence of filiation workers during the pandemic process were compared according to their age, gender and title, it was seen that the workers aged 30 and under were statistically significantly more exposed to verbal and psychological violence. In addition, statistically significant differences were found in terms of exposure to psychological violence by title (p<0.05, Table 2).

Table 2. The relationship between verbal and psychological violence and some variables

		Verbal	violence	!		Psychologi	cal viole	ence		
		Yes	ľ	No		Yes	I	No]	Fotal
Category variables	n	% a	n	% a	n %a		n	%a	n	%b
Age										
≤30	47	82,5	10	17,5	45	78,9	12	21,1	57	43,5
>30	48	64,9	26	35,1	45	60,8	29	39,2	74	56,5
		<i>p</i> =0,025	x ² =5,0	00		<i>p</i> =0,026	x ² =4,9	26		

Gender										
Male	20	74,1	7	25,9	20	74,1	7	25,9	27	20,6
Female	75	72,1	29	27,9	70	67,3	34	32,7	104	79,4
		<i>p</i> =0,839	x ² =0,041			p=0,499	x ² =0,45	6		
Title										
Physicians	17	65,4	9	34,6	22	84,6	4	15,4	26	19,8
Dentists	24	82,8	5	17,2	22	75,9	7	24,1	29	22,1
Other health	54	71,1	22	28,9	46	60,5	30	39,5	76	58,1
personnel										
		<i>p</i> =0,321	x ² =2,272			<i>p</i> =0,047	x ² =6,11	16		

aRow percentage, bColumn percentage

In our study, Mann Whitney U test was applied between bivariate categorical groups. EE scores; It was found to be significantly higher in those who did not have children and who were subjected to verbal and psychological violence. PA scores; It was found to be significantly higher in those over the age of 30, men, those who had children, and those who did not experience psychological violence. DP scores; It was found to be significantly higher in those aged 30 years and younger, men, singles, those who did not have children, and those who were subjected to verbal and psychological violence. RSA scores; It was found to be statistically significantly higher in those who have children (p<0.05). When the differences between the averages of the scores obtained from the scale according to the title were examined with the Kruskal Wallis test, significant differences were found in all scores according to the title. In the Mann Whitney U advanced analysis performed as a Post-Hoc test to determine the variable that makes the difference; there was no difference between the averages of physicians and dentists. It was observed that the burnout scores of the other health personnel group against both physicians and dentists were lower in EE and DP and higher in PA. In addition, other health personnel have statistically higher in PA. Scores (p<0.05, Table 3).

	n	Emotional Exhaustion	Personal Accomplishm ent	Depersonalizati on	Psychological resilience
Age					
≤30	57	$22,26\pm 8,07$	18,39±3,44	7,95±3,54	120,88±18,46
>30	74	21,16±6,29	20,58±4,45	5,59±3,36	125,30±17,64
Mann-Whitney U		U=1822 p=0,183	U=1432 p=0,002	U=1262 <i>p</i> <0,001	U=1782 <i>p</i> =0,129
Gender		p 0,100	<i>P</i> 0,002	p 10,002	p 0,122
Male	27	20,15±6,11	21.59±4,53	7,89±3,02	121,15±18,82
Female	104	22,03±7,33	19,11±3,94	6,29±3,70	123.95±17,91
Mann-Whitney U		U=1122	U=976	U=1041	U=1272
		p=0,109	p=0,014	<i>p</i> =0,038	<i>p</i> =0,452
Marital statüs		•	• <i>i</i>	• /	*
Married	96	$21,07\pm6,60$	$20,05\pm4,38$	6,22±3,78	124,72±17,17
Single	35	23,20±8,27	18.46 ± 3.33	7.71±2.88	119.69±20,12
Mann-Whitney U		U=1328	U=1345	U=1205	U=1374
		p=0,067	p=0,081	p=0,013	p=0,111
Title		- -	^	• <i>'</i>	·
Physicians	26	25,38±7,39	18,15±3,31	7,69±3,32	121,73±15,36
Dentists	29	22,83±7,34	17,96±2,85	8,41±3,68	115,96±18,94
Other health personnel	76	19,91±6,40	20,76±4,51	5,57±3,35	126,76±17,87
Kruskal Wallis Test		² =12,72	² =14,09	² =17,01	2 =8,07*
		<i>p</i> =0,02	<i>p</i> =0,001	<i>p<</i> 0,001	<i>p</i> =0,018
Having children					
Yes	75	$20,75\pm6,26$	20,77±4,35	5,56±3,48	126,71±17,45
No	56	$22,84{\pm}8,02$	18,09±3,39	8,03±3,32	118.91±18,06
Mann-Whitney U		U=1647	U=1364	U=1218	U=1553
		<i>p</i> =0,035	<i>p</i> =0,001	<i>p<</i> 0,001	<i>p</i> =0,011

Table 3. Relationship between burnout and resilience scale scores and some variables

Gevher Nesibe Journal of Medical & Health Sciences 2022; 7(21): 160-167

Beyoglu et al. Exposure To Violence, Psychological Resilience And Burnout In Filiation Workers In The Covid-19 Pandemic

Mann-Whitney U		U=1139	U=1285	U=1197	U=1117
		<i>p</i> =0,132	<i>p</i> =0,498	<i>p</i> =0,237	<i>p</i> =0,103
Verbal violence					
Yes	95	22,56±6,63	19,27±4,23	7,15±3,49	$123,78{\pm}18,00$
No	36	19,20±7,84	20,55±3,91	5,22±3,63	122,30±18,47
Mann-Whitney U		U=1221	U=1378	U=1220	U=1633
		<i>p</i> =0,012	p=0,088	<i>p</i> =0,011	<i>p</i> =0,693
Psychological violence					
Yes	90	24,08±5,83	19,01±4,14	7,75±3,26	123,18±17,72
No	41	16,30±6,80	20,98±3,95	4,12±3,11	123,80±19,01
Mann-Whitney U		U=738	U=1244	U=771	U=1823
-		<i>p</i> <0,001	<i>p</i> =0,003	<i>p</i> <0,001	<i>p</i> =0,915
Total	131	21,64±7,11	19,63±4,17	6,62±3,62	123,37±18,06

The results of correlation analysis between age and scale scores in our study are shown in Table 4. PA score has a positive relationship with RSA, and DP score has a negative relationship with RSA (p<0.05).

	*	Age	EE	PA	DP	RSA
Age	Rho	1	-0,136	0,344	-0,313	0,098
	р		0,122	0,000	0,000	0,267
EE	Rho	-0,136	1	-0,466	0,522	-0,154
	р	0,122		0,000	0,000	0,079
PA	Rho	0,344	-0,466	1	-0,486	0,376
	р	0,000	0,000		0,000	0,000
DP	Rho	-0,313	0,522	-0,486	1	-0,326
	р	0,000	0,000	0,000		0,000
RSA	Rho	0,098	-0,154	0,376	-0,326	1
	р	0,267	0,079	0,000	0,000	

*Spearman's rho correlation analysis

DISCUSSION

In our study, the average age of the filiation workers was 34.23. In addition, a significant majority of the employees are women (79.4%), married (73.3%) and have children (57.3%). Considering their titles, 19.8% of the filling workers are physicians, 22.1% are dentists, 58.1% are other health personnel. In the example of a district health directorate in the fight against pandemics in Turkey, it was observed that the number of dentists was the highest among those working. Dentists have become a very important human resource in filiation with their advantage in taking throat and nose swab due to their high hand practice (Erdem et al., 2021). In our study, a significant part of the filiation workers are married, women, and have children. This situation should be observed in terms of working order and other duties for the filiation workers who are heavily involved in field work. Working hours may turn into a shift system for healthcare professionals who have a change in their workload and an increased workload (Yüncü and Yılan, 2020). In our study, a very important part (79.8%) of the filiation workers were working in shifts.

Healthcare professionals and security forces are on the front lines in the fight against the COVID-19 pandemic (Ruiz and Gibson, 2020). It is very difficult for these healthcare professionals, who are at the forefront of the epidemic, to continue to provide healthcare by protecting both themselves and their service areas (Özer and Özcan, 2020). Healthcare workers who try to prevent the spread of the disease by isolation, quarantine practices and filiation in the society are at great risk both physically and psychologically (Emiral et al., 2020). As an important finding in our study, the violence against employees was questioned. In our study, 72.5% of the healthcare professionals stated that they were subjected to verbal violence, 68.7% to psychological violence, 3.1% to physical violence, and 0.8% to

sexual violence from the moment they took part in the filiation. In the joint report of international health organizations in 2002, it was determined that 3-17% physical, 27-67% verbal, 10-23% psychological and 0.7-8% sexual violence were applied to healthcare workers in different countries. (Chen et al., 2008). In our study, filiation workers stated that they were exposed to violence at very high rates during the epidemic. The patient, patient relatives or other people they may encounter while on duty pose a great threat to the filiation workers. This situation makes it difficult to limit the epidemic due to its effective filiation. Filiation teams can face dangers at any time of the day. It should be ensured that the security forces accompany the filiation teams at all times. In our study, the cases of verbal and psychological violence of the filiation workers during the pandemic were compared according to their age, gender and title. Employees aged 30 and under stated that they were exposed to more verbal and psychological violence. This may be due to the society's tendency to put pressure on employees who appear younger. There are some studies in the literature showing that violence is applied more to low-age healthcare workers. (Demiroğlu et al., 2015). When looking at the title, physicians and dentists were more exposed to psychological violence. This may be due to the fact that physicians and dentists are threatened by the people they encounter, as they take more responsibility during contact identification.

Severe working conditions in the COVID-19 pandemic for healthcare workers created a traumatic experience that led to mental problems. In our study, burnout and psychological resilience states and their relations were investigated for psychological evaluations that may be related to the intense working tempo of healthcare workers. Severe working conditions can cause burnout in healthcare workers (Doğan and Keskin, 2021). Burnout, which was first put forward by Fredeunberg in 1974, was defined as "the state of emotional exhaustion, which means that people are unable to fulfill their job requirements as a result of their overwork" (Fredeunberg, 1974). Burnout negatively affects productivity and efficiency in working life (Dick and Wagner, 2001). In our study, MBI was analyzed in subgroups. Accordingly, EE scores: in non-children, PA scores: DP scores for men over 30 years old. having children; It was found to be significantly higher in 30 years of age and younger, males, singles, and those who did not have children. Some studies in which burnout scores were compared according to sociodemographic characteristics were examined. Among them, Kaya et al. found that female healthcare workers had higher emotional burnout scores than males (Kaya et al., 2007). The age of the employees decreases the personal achievement score, and there is no relationship between the profession or marital status of the employees and the burnout scale scores in this study. In the study of Sünter et al., the burnout scale personal achievement score was found higher in male physicians than in females, and age, marital status and burnout did not differ significantly (Sünter et al., 2006). In Dikmetas et al.'s study, male healthcare workers had high emotional exhaustion and depersonalization scores, and low personal achievement scores (Dikmetaş et al., 2011). The burnout of filiation workers in the epidemic reduces their productivity. Low-efficiency filiation studies may cause a vicious circle by complicating the limitation of the disease and increasing the workload of the filiation teams in the future. In our study, psychological resilience assessment was also performed together with burnout situations. Because psychologically resilient individuals can better control themselves in extraordinarily difficult situations such as epidemics (Baykal, 2020). In our study, although the RSA score was small in value compared to other categories in single healthcare workers, shift workers and dentists, it did not show statistical significance. In contrast, RSA scores are statistically significantly higher in those who have children. In the literature, in the studies where comparisons of psychological resilience are made according to some personal characteristics; In a study conducted with nursing students, psychological resilience levels of female students were significantly higher than males, and there was no significant difference between age and psychological resilience (Güngörmüş et al., 2015). In a study conducted in a private health institution, single participants had higher scores in some subgroups of resilience. In this study, as in our study, no relationship was found between gender and resilience (Senol and Üzüm, 2019).

The relationships between violence, burnout and psychological resilience that we investigated in our study were also investigated. According to this; EE and DP scores were found to be high in those who were subjected to verbal and psychological violence, and PA scores were found to be low in those who were subjected to psychological violence. RSA scores, on the other hand, were not found to be associated with verbal and psychological violence. When the relationship between burnout and resilience is analyzed, PA score has a positive correlation with RSA, and DP score has a negative correlation with RSA. In some national and international studies investigating similar relationships in the literature, it has been shown that psychological resilience affects employees' burnout in a negative way (Arrongante and Aparicio Zaldivar, 2017; Bitmiş et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2018). In a study conducted on healthcare workers with different titles such as physicians and nurses in a public hospital, the level of emotional exhaustion and depersonalization was found to be higher in the burnout scale subgroup of employees who were exposed to or witnessed violence in the workplace (Dursun, 2012). The results of the literature and the results of our study show similarities in terms of the relationship between exposure to violence and scale scores.

Our study has some limitations. First, our study was conducted in a single province. Second, filiation workers are constantly changing, studies conducted in different healthcare workers may show different results. Third, the number of daily cases varies during the period of the study, which may affect the workload and thus the survey results.

CONCLUSION

Filiation workers have been exposed to violence at a high rate. The unusually increased workload due to the epidemic affects the mental state of the employees. Filiation workers, who constitute the most important chain in the field in epidemic control, are vulnerable in surveillance studies and contact identification of cases. In order to prevent the spread of the disease and to carry out effective filiation, it should be ensured that the working order and workload of healthcare workers are arranged in a way that does not cause burnout, and that the security forces accompany the filiation teams.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Author Contributions:

Plan, design: MB, AA, EK; **Material, methods and data collection:** MB,AA ; **Data analysis and comments:** MB, EK; **Writing and corrections:** MB, AA, EK.

Funding

This study did not receive any specific grant or funding.

REFERENCES

- Arrogante O., Aparicio Zaldivar E. (2017). Burnout and health among critical care professionals: the mediational role of resilience. Intensive and Critical Care Nursing 42:110-115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iccn.2017.04.010
- Basım N., Çetin F. (2011). Yetişkinler için psikolojik dayanıklılık ölçeğinin güvenilirlik ve geçerlilik çalışması. Türk Psikiyatri Dergisi 22:104-114.
- Baykal E. (2020). Covid-19 bağlamında psikolojik dayanıklılık, kaygı ve yaşam doyum ilişkisi. IJSES 10:68-80.
- Bitmiş M. G., Sökmen A., Turgut H. (2013). Psikolojik dayanıklılığın tükenmişlik üzerine etkisi: örgütsel özdeşleşmenin aracılık rolü. Gazi Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi 15:27-40.
- Cevizci O., Müezzin E. E. (2019). Sağlık çalışanlarında psikolojik belirtilerin ve psikolojik dayanıklılığın incelenmesi. Kıbrıs Türk Psikiyatri ve Psikoloji Dergisi 1:166-172. <u>https://doi.org/10.35365/ctipp.19.1.2</u>
- Chen W. C., Hwu H. G., Kung S. M., Chiu H. J., Wang J. D. (2008). Prevalence and determinants of workplace violence of health care workers in a psychiatric hospital in Taiwan. J Occup Health 50:288-293. https://doi.org/10.1539/joh.L7132
- Demiroğlu T., Kılınç E., Atay E. (2015). Sağlık çalışanlarına uygulanan şiddet: Kilis ili örneği. Sağlık Bilimleri Dergisi 24:49-55.
- Dick R. V., Wagner U. (2001). Stress and strain in teaching: a structural equation approach. British Journal of Educational Psychology 71:243-259. <u>https://doi.org/10.1348/000709901158505</u>
- Dikmetaş E., Top M., Ergin G. (2011). Asistan hekimlerin tükenmişlik ve mobbing düzeylerinin incelenmesi. Türk Psikiyatri Dergisi 22:137-149.
- Doğan A., Keskin G. (2021). Pandemi sürecinde ruhsal sorunlar: sağlık çalışanlarının Covid-19 yanıtına travmaya dayalı bir yaklaşım. Hastane Öncesi Dergisi 6:159-174.
- Dursun S. (2012). İşyeri şiddetinin çalışanların tükenmişlik düzeyi üzerine etkisi: sağlık sektöründe bir uygulama. Çalışma İlişkileri Dergisi 3:105-115.
- Emiral E., Çevik Z. A., Gülümser Ş. (2020). COVID-19 pandemisi ve intihar. ESTÜDAM Halk Sağlığı Dergisi 5:138-147. https://doi.org/10.35232/estudamhsd.762006

- Erdem B., Yıldırım A. D., Erdem F., Esencan T. Y., Uyar N. (2021). Covid-19 pandemi mücadelesinde Kadıköy İlçe Sağlık Müdürlüğünün organizasyonel yapısı. TJFM&PC 15:170-178. https://doi.org/ 10.21763/tjfmpc.760179
- Ergin C. (2021). Doktor ve hemşirelerde tükenmişlik ve Maslach tükenmişlik ölçeğinin uyarlanmasi. VII. Ulusal Psikoloji Kongresi, Ankara. Erişim adresi: <u>https://ci.nii.ac.jp/naid/10029463961. Erişim tarihi 03.11.2021</u>
- Freudenberger H. J. (1974). Staff burn out. Journal of SocialIssues 30:159-165. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.1974.tb00706.x
- Friborg O., Hjemdal O., Rosenvinge J. H., Martiussen M. (2003). A new rating scale for adult resilience: what are the central protective resources behind healthy adjustment? Int J Methods Psychiatr Res 12:65-76. https://doi.org/10.1002/mpr.143
- Friborg O., Barlaug D., Martiussen M., Rosenvinge J. H., Hjemdal O. (2005). Resilience in relationto personality and intelligence. International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research 14:29-42. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/mpr.15</u>
- Güngörmüş K., Okanlı A., Kocabeyoğlu T. (2015). Hemşirelik öğrencilerinin psikolojik dayanıklılıkları ve etkileyen faktörler. Psikiyatri Hemşireliği Dergisi 6:9-14.
- Kaya M., Üner S., Karanfil E., Uluyol R., Yüksel F., Yüksel M. (2007) Birinci basamak sağlık çalışanlarının tükenmişlik durumları. TSK Koruyucu Hekimlik Bülteni 6:357-363.
- Maslach C., Jackson S. E. (1981). The measurement of experienced burnout. Journal of Occupational Behavior 2:99-113. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/job.4030020205</u>
- Özer Z. Y., Özcan S. (2020). Birinci basamak sağlık hizmetlerinde Covid-19 enfeksiyonu: korunma ve kontrol önerileri. Arşiv Kaynak Tarama Dergisi 29:67-72. <u>https://doi.org/10.17827/aktd.841220</u>
- Pala K. (2020). COVID-19 pandemisi ve Türkiye'de halk sağlığı yönetimi. Sağlık ve Toplum 30:39-50. https://doi.org/0000-0002-0983-4904
- Ruiz M., Gibson C. (2020). Emotional impact of the Covid-19 pandemic on U.S. healthc are workers: a gathering storm. Psychological Trauma: Theory Research Practice and Policy 12:153-155. https://doi.org/10.1037/tra0000851
- Saatçi E. (2020). COVID-19 pandemisi ve sağlık çalışanları. Türk Aile Hek Derg 24:172-174. https://doi.org/10.15511/tahd.20.00472
- Sağlık Bakanlığı, Halk Sağlığı Genel Müdürlüğü, Bulaşıcı Hastalıkları ile Mücadele Rehberi. (2020). Erişim adresi: https://hsgm.saglik.gov.tr/dosya/mevzuat/genelge/Bulasici-Hastaliklar-ile-Mucadele-Rehberi-Genelgesi-2017-11.pdf. Erişim tarihi 05.05.2020
- Sünter A. T., Canbaz S., Dabak Ş., Öz H., Pekşen Y. (2006). Pratisyen hekimlerde tükenmişlik, işe bağlı gerginlik ve iş doyumu düzeyleri. Genel Tıp Dergisi 16:9-14.
- Şenol L., Üzüm B. (2019). Özel sağlık kurumları çalışanlarının psikolojik dayanıklılık ve yabancılaşma düzeyleri. İşletme Araştırmaları Dergisi 2019;11:1092-1102. <u>https://doi.org/10.20491/isarder.2019.658</u>
- Şimşek A. Ç., Kara A., Baran Aksakal F. N., Gülüm M., İlter B., Ender L.,...Bulut Y. E. (2020). Contact tracing management of the COVID-19 pandemic. Türk Hij Den Biyol Derg 77:269-280. https://doi.org/10.5505/TurkHijyen.2020.80688
- Yang G., Liu J., Liu L., Wu X., Ding S., Xie J. (2018). Burnout and resilience among transplant nurses in 21 hospitals in China. Transplantation Proceedings 50:2905-2910. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.transproceed.2018.04.033
- Yüncü V., Yılan Y. (2020). COVID-19 pandemisinin sağlık çalışanlarına etkilerinin incelenmesi: bir durum analizi. Iğd Üniv Sos Bil Der 373-401.
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2019a). Naming the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) and the virüs that causes it. Available at: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus2019/technicalguidance/naming-the-coronavirus-disease-(covid-2019)-and-the-virus-that-causesit. Accessed March 05, 2020
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2019b). Rolling updates on coronavirus disease (COVID-19). Available at: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/eventsas-they-happen). Accessed March 05, 2020